1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ANTITRUST LITIGATION This document relates to: Electrograph Systems, Inc. et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05724; Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261; Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05264; Interbond Corporation of America v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05727; Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05726: Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05723; P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation, et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-

Schultze Agency Services, LLC, o/b/o Tweeter

Opco, LLC, et al. v. Technicolor SA, Ltd., et

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)

Master Case No.: 3:07-cv-05944-SC MDL No. 1917

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79-5

Before the Honorable Samuel Conti

al., No. 13-cv-05668;

05725;

1	
2	Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Technicolor SA, No. 3:13-cv-05262;
3	Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Direct Action Plaintiffs' ("DAPs")¹ February 10, 2014 Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5 ("Motion to Seal"). Having considered the Motion to Seal, the Declaration of Vincent S. Loh in support thereof, and any additional declarations subsequently filed in support, and good cause appearing therefore:

The Court hereby GRANTS the Motion to Seal and orders that the following are properly sealable and shall be sealed:

Highlighted portions of the DAPs' Opposition to
Thomson SA's Motion to Dismiss ("Opposition"),
found on pages 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14, and 16 of the
Opposition.
Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vincent S. Loh in
Support of the DAP's Opposition ("Loh
Declaration").
Exhibit B to the Loh Declaration
Exhibit C to the Loh Declaration
Exhibit D to the Loh Declaration
Exhibit E to the Loh Declaration
Exhibit F to the Loh Declaration
Exhibit G to the Loh Declaration
Exhibit H to the Loh Declaration

²⁴

²⁵ 26

²⁷

²⁸

Exhibit O to the Loh Declaration Exhibit P to the Loh Declaration Exhibit Q to the Loh Declaration Exhibit R to the Loh Declaration Exhibit S to the Loh Declaration Exhibit T to the Loh Declaration Exhibit U to the Loh Declaration ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: _____ HONORABLE SAMUEL CONTI United States District Court Judge

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2378-2 Filed 02/10/14 Page 4 of 4